UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.           | FILING DATE                     | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/594,663                | 05/12/2008                      | Hideaki Yoshida      | F-9177              | 1648             |
|                           | 7590 02/14/201<br>O HAMBURG LLP | EXAMINER             |                     |                  |
| 122 EAST 42N              | D STREET                        | KIDWELL, MICHELE M   |                     |                  |
| SUITE 4000<br>NEW YORK, N | NY 10168                        |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
|                           |                                 |                      | 3761                |                  |
|                           |                                 |                      |                     |                  |
|                           |                                 |                      | MAIL DATE           | DELIVERY MODE    |
|                           |                                 |                      | 02/14/2012          | PAPER            |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No.    | Applicant(s)     |  |  |
|--------------------|------------------|--|--|
| 10/594,663         | YOSHIDA, HIDEAKI |  |  |
| Examiner           | Art Unit         |  |  |
| Michele M. Kidwell | 3761             |  |  |

|   | Michele M. Klawell   | 3/01   |  |  |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe   | ars on the cover sheet with the c  | orrespondence add                                      | ress                                       |  |  |  |  |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>07 February 2012</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:  | ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff<br>tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c   | idavit, or other eviden<br>compliance with 37 C        | ice, which<br>FR 41.31; or (3)             |  |  |  |  |
| a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date   | of the final rejection.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70   | ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE  | g date of the final rejecti                            | on.  |  |  |  |  |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL | ension and the corresponding amount<br>hortened statutory period for reply origi<br>than three months after the mailing da | of the fee. The appropr<br>nally set in the final Offi | iate extension fee<br>ce action; or (2) as |  |  |  |  |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed <u>AMENDMENTS</u>   | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to  | avoid dismissal of th                                  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3. 🛛 Th <u>e p</u> roposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I  | out prior to the date of filing a brief,   | will not be entered b                                  | ecause                                     |  |  |  |  |
| (a) They raise new issues that would require further co   |  | TE below);   |  |  |  |  |  |
| (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo   | •  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet   | ter form for appeal by materially re   | ducing or simplifying                                  | the issues for                             |  |  |  |  |
| appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a o   | corresponding number of finally rei  | acted claims   |  |  |  |  |  |
| NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1   |  | cotoa olaliilis.                                       |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12  |  | mnliant Amendment                                      | (PTOL-324)                                 |  |  |  |  |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)  |  | inpliant / infoliament                                 | (1 102 024).                               |  |  |  |  |
| 5. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all  |  | timely filed amendme                                   | ent canceling the                          |  |  |  |  |
| non-allowable claim(s).   | iowabie ii oabiiiikoa iii a oopalake,  | annony mod amondme                                     | one danidoling and                         |  |  |  |  |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prove The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  |  | ll be entered and an e                                 | explanation of                             |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) allowed:   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1,4,9 and 10.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>2,3 and 5-8</u> .   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu<br>because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and<br>was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing<br>entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o<br>showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary   | vercome all rejections under appea   | al and/or appellant fai                                | ils to provide a                           |  |  |  |  |
| 10. 🔲 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation  | n of the status of the claims after e  | ntry is below or attach                                | ned.                                       |  |  |  |  |
| REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11. $\square$ The request for reconsideration has been considered bu  | t does NOT place the application ir  | n condition for allowar                                | nce because:                               |  |  |  |  |
| 12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s).  | (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | /Michala M. IZishina II/   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | /Michele M Kidwell/  | nit 3761   |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | Primary Examiner, Art U  | iii. 3/01  |  |  |  |  |  |

Continuation of 3. NOTE: the amendments to the claims and the changes in claim dependency in conjunction with the previously claimed limitations will require further searching and consideration.